



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/048,039 | 01/24/2002 | Hitoshi Masumura | JP 000010 | 2755 |
| 75 | 90 09/16/2003 | | | |
| Philips Electronics North America Corporation Corporate Patent Counsel 580 White Plains Road | | | EXAMINER GROUP, KARL E | |
| | | | | |
| | | | 1755 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| fo. | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 10/048,039 | MASUMURA ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examin r | Art Unit | | |
| | | Karl E Group | 1755 | | |
| Period for | - Th MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply | ppears on the covershet with t | he correspond nc address | | |
| THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 16 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | l. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ate, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) 🗌 (| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 6)🛛 |)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 (| Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers | or election requirement. | | | |
| | he specification is objected to by the Examir | ner | | | |
| | | | Evaminer | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)∐ T | he oath or declaration is objected to by the E | • • | | | |
| | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| 2 | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14)∐ Ad | knowledgment is made of a claim for domes | stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e) (to a provisional application). | | |
| a) 15)⊟ A₁ | ☐ The translation of the foreign language pcknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | rovisional application has been stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ | received. 120 and/or 121. | | |
| Attachment(| | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Inform | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| S. Patent and Trac TOL-326 (Rev | | Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 4 | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/048,039

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification makes reference to tables however no tables are included in the disclosure.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:

Support for claim 3 cannot be found.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terminology "the grain sizes" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/048,039 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawata (6,184,165) or Sugimoto et al (6,458,734) further in view of one of Fukuda et al (5,827,792) or EP 0473347.

Kawata teaches a dielectric porcelain including a (BaNdSM)TiO₃ main component and a secondary component including SiO₂, MgO, B₂O₃, Al₂O₃, ZnO, BO and CuO, (see column 2, lines 45-58 and examples of Table 2). Sugimoto et al teach a dielectric composition including (BaNdSM)TiO₃ main component and a secondary component including SiO₂, MgO, B₂O₃, Al₂O₃, ZnO, BaO and CuO (see column 2, lines 10-54).

The primary references fail to teach the presence of Bi₂O₃.

Fukuda et al teach a dielectric composition comprising (BaNdSM)TiO $_3$ which further includes Bi $_2$ O $_3$ to lower the high temperature coefficient of resonance frequency and lower the sintering temperature (see column 4, lines 1-3 and Table 6.

The EP reference teaches Bi₂O₃ lowers the temperature coefficient and raises the dielectric constant, page 3, lines 41-46.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further include Bi_2O_3 in the compositions of Kawata and Sugimoto et al. et al because of the

Art Unit: 1755

taught improvement in temperature coefficient and dielectric constant as taught by the secondary references.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl E Group whose telephone number is 703-308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Karl E Group Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

Keg 9-10-03